


SWITCHED

 **ON**

**A
PLAY
IN
TWO
ACTS**



SURENDRA KUMAR SAGAR

Copyright © 2020, Surendra Kumar Sagar
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages in connection with a review written for inclusion in a magazine, newspaper or broadcast.

Published in India by Prowess Publishing,
YRK Towers, Thadikara Swamy Koil St, Alandur,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600016

ISBN: 978-81-945278-0-0
eISBN: 978-81-945278-1-7

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication

CONTENTS

Preface

Act 1

Act 2

Interactive Session

Epilogue

Author's Note

Acknowledgements

Praise for Sagar and his Books

ACT 1

ENTER ...

CFT: Charlie Fox Trot

DOA: Diogenes of Alaska

ES: Erwin Schrodinger (Revised version of)

JW: John Wheeler (Rvo)

EW: Eugene Wigner (Rvo)

SK: Surendra Kumar (Yours truly)

CFT: Recall your words SK .. ‘And the current information shows the Intelligent Field to be severely contaminated and the only way to remove the contamination is by deleting the evil ‘Information’, and replacing it with good ‘Information.’ How do we do that?

SK: As we do in calculus ..We first differentiate to understand the problem, and then integrate to find the solution.

Let DOA, CFT, and me do the differentiation part, by providing all the ‘Information In the Field’ on what has been going on in the planet Earth over the last hundred years or so, and what are the cards lying on the table as per the current deal and then we will ask the respected scientists ES, EW, JW, and others, to do the Integration part and provide the solutions and tell us how to play the deal.

The Information in the Field is in two categories. Category One corresponds to the Information relating to events that actually happened as recorded in History —beginning with Einstein’s equation and continuing to the present day—which has some bearing on our current situation where

we have more than twenty thousand Nuclear warheads located at several locations on the planet, not all of them in possession of safe hands.

I request DOA to please give his presentation on category one.

EW: What is category two information?

SK: Category two corresponds to the information where there is a mismatch between the events that actually happened and those understood to have happened as per recorded history.

DOA started to give his presentation with a slide show to explain the full sequence of events that happened over the years relating to the nuclear geopolitics of the world.

He spent about a couple of minutes to elaborate on each of the following:

EINSTEIN'S EQUATION AND THE DISCOVERY OF FISSION

THE RACE FOR SUPREMACY AND EINSTEIN'S LETTERS TO PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT

THE MANHATTEN PROJECT

THE BOMBING OF HIROSHIMA AND NAGASAKI AND THE AFTERMATH

NUCLEAR ARMS RACE

THE DOOMSDAY EMBLEMATIC CLOCK

PUGWASH CONFERENCES AND THE RUSSEL EINSTEIN MANIFESTO

JOSEPH ROTBLAT

ABOUT THE BOOK 'KEEPER OF THE NUCLEAR CONSCIENCE' BY ANDREW BROWN

THE 1962 CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS AND ITS AFTERMATH

THE ROLE OF PUGWASH IN THE RESOLUTION OF THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS

THE 1981 FIRST STRIKE SCENARIO

THE STRATEGIC DEFENCE INITIATIVE

SEPTEMBER 26 1983 .. WORLD WAR 3 COULD HAVE STARTED

MIKHAEL GORBOCHOV'S POSITIVE APPROACH IGNORED BY THE UNITED STATES

THE NOBEL PEACE PRIZE OF 1995 TO ROTBLAT AND THE PUGWASH CONFERENCES

SWIMMING AGAINST THE CURRENT .. RESPONSIBLE DISSIDENCE

ROTBAT'S TEN POINT MEMO

THE WORLD OTHER THAN THE COLD WAR WORLD

THE KARGIL WAR OF 1999

THE 9/11 ATTACK ON THE WTC TOWERS

DOA showed Videos of the Planes hitting the Towers and described the event as:

DOA: On September 11, 2001, 19 Arab-Muslim hijackers took control of four commercial aircraft and used them as suicide weapons in a series of four coordinated acts of terrorism to strike the World Trade Centre in New York City, The Pentagon in Arlington County, Virginia, and an additional target in Washington DC. Two aircrafts hit the World Trade Centre while the third hit the Pentagon. A fourth plane never arrived at its target, crashing in a field in Pennsylvania after a passenger revolt. The attack was carefully planned by al-Qaeda, who sent 19 terrorists to take over Boeing 757 and Boeing 767 aircraft, operated by American Airlines and United Airlines.

Osama Bin Laden was considered the Chief Planner and the mastermind behind the attacks. Motives for the attack include: US support for Israel, Western support for attacking Muslims in Somalia, Russian atrocities against Muslims in Chechnya, Indian oppression against Muslims in Kashmir, Jewish aggression against Muslims in Lebanon, The presence of US troops in Saudi Arabia, and sanctions against Iraq, etc.

Those were the Stated Objectives. There were also some Inferred objectives such as **Religious motivation**. Daniel Benjamin and Steven Simon, in their book, *The Age of Sacred Terror*, argue that 9/11 terrorist attacks are purely religious. They are seen as “a sacrament ... intended to restore to the universe a moral order that had been corrupted by the

enemies of Islam.” It is neither political nor strategic but an “act of redemption” meant to “humiliate and slaughter those who defied the hegemony of God”.

At this stage a certain gentleman, from the audience sitting in the front row interrupted the proceedings and raised his hands.

The organizers asked DOA to hold on for some time.

Albert Einstein R-0, the revised version of Einstein who till this time was sitting and watching as a front row audience, suddenly got interested and decided to join the conversation. (Incidentally AE was the keynote speaker at the ‘Seminar Held in a Parallel Universe’ held in June 2014 at the same venue.. The National Institute of Advanced Studies, Bangalore .. Refer “SIX WORDS”)

AE: This is interesting to me. Did you say the planes were Boeing 757 and 767 aircraft operated by American Airlines and United Airlines?

DOA: Yes .. Those were the alleged planes.

AE: What do you mean ‘Alleged’?

DOA: I mean “As reported”.

AE: They don’t look that large to me. And how could they keep moving at the same speed even after hitting the tower and disappear completely into the building. If this is true then I am afraid we may have to revise Newton’s third law. “For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction”. Try to imagine a Tower moving at .. say 400 miles per hour hitting the flight 175. We would not expect the plane to be undamaged, leave aside disappear into the tower.

JW: I agree with AE. This is impossible. The bulk of the planes should have crumpled up and fallen to the ground soon after hitting the tower.

ES: In fact, they don’t look like real planes to me, more like Computer generated stuff.

EW: It simply cannot happen without violating the established laws of science.

AE: What in the world is going on here?

DOA: I was coming to that, I understand there is a conspiracy theory—gaining appreciable ground recently—that the 9/11 attack on the WTC Towers was in all probability an Inside Job.

AE: What does GOOGLE tell us about this ‘inside job’?

CFT: While you were interrogating, I gathered this info from the Google, I will read out for you:

“Possibly one of the most believed and widespread conspiracies has to do with the 9/11 attack in the United States. The United States government has been known (and proven) to have done a multitude of awful things, but usually, it is to countries other than their own.

There are demolitionists that claim that certain types of explosives made the towers fall. These people claim to be experts because they use these explosives weekly to take down other buildings.

There are so many glitches and things that just do not seem right about the 9/11 attack that it is hard to believe that it wasn’t an inside job, especially in hindsight.

Many believe that the United States government planned this attack to inspire hatred toward Islam and in turn Iraq. This would result in the “War on Terror,” which many just see as the “War of All the Oil We Can Take.” And Many American soldiers lost their lives because of this, along with many innocent civilians.

The world may be one step closer to finding the truth on this event. The government of Russia claims to have satellite images proving that the 9/11 attack was, in fact, an inside job. Putin is threatening the government with this information currently. There is no doubt this is causing a mass panic in the white house. Hopefully, the American people will get their answers soon. God knows they deserve to know why so many of their people had to die.”

AE: What do you have to say to this .. SK .. as a structural engineer.

Will you please come forward and explain this?

SK: Sure, I will, I completely agree with the great scientists assembled here. This could not have happened—The way it is alleged to have happened—without violating Newton’s Laws of Science, and structural engineering is predominantly based on Newton’s laws.

AE: Please go ahead.

SK: To a structural engineer a skyscraper is modelled as a large cantilever vertical column.

At this stage another one from the audience in the front row .. a certain gentleman called LDV – R0 (The revised version of Leonardo Da Vinci, who incidentally was also a Structural Engineer), raised his hands to ask a question.

LDV: Can you tell us the dimensions and other details of the Towers?

SK: Sure

Each tower was 64 m square, standing 411 m above street level, and 21 m below grade. The Structural design comprised of a lightweight “perimeter tube”. Consisting of 244 exterior columns of 36 cm square steel box section spaced 1 m c/c. Inside this outer tube there was a massive 27 m × 40 m core, made up of a framework of heavy columns, fully braced, which was designed to support the weight of the tower, and of course the interconnecting beams. Steel beams 800 mm deep connected the core to the perimeter at each story. Concrete slabs were poured over these beams to form the floors.

We all know that the striking object pierced through, and still the structure didn’t collapse immediately. It remained intact for quite some time, before the 1000-degree temperature created the fall. Now I ask you the question .. Was that possible, considering the dimensions of the planes that were supposed to have hit the towers.

LDV: And what were these dimensions?

SK: First .. consider the width .. 156 feet for a Boeing 767 i.e. 47.5 m wide. Now we all know that the entire plane pierced through

completely and settled down nicely inside the Tower. Which means as many as 47 out of 64 exterior columns were destroyed, which is about 73% .. by no means just a handful. So .. What do we get? .. 73% of the exterior columns destroyed and the structure still standing. Were the remaining 17 or 18 exterior columns over designed to such an extent that they took care of the work load of the 47 columns who 'died'. Or was it that the Beams connecting the inner core structure to the exterior columns were also designed as cantilevers projecting from the inner core.

OK .. Next .. consider the length .. 159 feet for a Boing 767 i.e. 48.5 m long. As mentioned earlier there is a central massive inner core in the Tower comprising of heavy and cross braced columns and beams. This massive inner core of columns was impossible to pierce through by a plane which must have considerably lost speed soon after striking the exterior columns .. not to mention the heavy RCC Floor slabs and the joists connecting the inner core with the exterior columns. And if we are supposed to believe that the Plane indeed pierced through the inner core after comprehensively violating Newton's Laws, would not the tower immediately collapse after several of the inner core columns are eliminated. Hence it is abundantly clear that the Plane could not have reached the inner core before coming to rest. Which means that the maximum distance travelled by the plane after it struck the Tower's external columns and reached the edge of the inner core is no more than 60 feet. Which means as much as about 99 feet of the plane ought to have remained outside the tower, ought to have broken off, crumpled up, and should have gone crumbling to the ground below. More or less similar would be the case for the other tower.

LDV: Nicely explained SK .. In addition there is a torsional effect. The instant the wings would have touched the steel, a torsional force on the wings would have been exerted. The velocity of the ends of the wings would have been substantially reduced, and there was no way they could have sliced steel.

SK: Thank you.

AE: What is the opinion of expert pilots on this? Could a terrorist—no matter how hard he trained on it—have maneuvered the planes in

such a precise manner?

CFT: I expected this question, so, while SK was providing his views as a structural engineer, I searched Google and found these views of an expert pilot, In fact the same pilot who flew the two actual aircrafts which were involved in 9/11. on other days of course:

“I flew the two actual aircraft which were involved in 9/11; the Flight number 175 and Flight 93, the 757 that allegedly went down in Shanksville and Flight 175 is the aircraft that’s alleged to have hit the South Tower.

I don’t believe it’s possible for a terrorist, a so-called terrorist to train on a [Cessna] 172, then jump in a cockpit of a 757–767 class plane, and vertical navigate the aircraft, lateral navigate the aircraft, and fly the airplane at speeds exceeding its design limit speed by well over 100 knots, make high-speed high-banked turns, exceeding—pulling probably 5, 6, 7 G’s. And the aircraft would literally fall out of the sky. I couldn’t do it and I’m absolutely positive they couldn’t do it. For a guy to just jump into the cockpit and fly like an ace is impossible—there is not one chance in a million,” said Wittenberg, recalling that when he made the jump from Boeing 727’s to the highly sophisticated computerized characteristics of the 737’s through 767’s it took him considerable time to feel comfortable flying. The airplane could not have flown at those speeds which they said it did without going into what they call a high- speed stall. The airplane won’t go that fast if you start pulling those high G maneuvers at those bank angles. ... To expect this alleged airplane to run these maneuvers with a total amateur at the controls is simply ludicrous... “It’s roughly a 100-ton airplane. And an airplane that weighs 100 tons all assembled is still going to have 100 tons of disassembled trash and parts after it hits a building. There was no wreckage from a 757 at the Pentagon. ... The vehicle that hit the Pentagon was not Flight 77. We think, as you may have heard before, it was a cruise missile.”

AE: Good job CFT .. Can you please provide some more expert views?

There was a ten-minute coffee break during which CFT kept digging for more ‘information in the field’ from google.

CFT: In continuation here are some other Expert

Views:

“The alleged flight 175 is seen intersecting with eight floors that consisted of steel trusses connected at one end to the core columns at the other, where each floor was covered with 4-8” of concrete, representing an acre of concrete apiece and posing enormous horizontal resistance to any airplane’s penetration into the building.

In some of the impact videos, we see what we are told is a plane cartoonishly pass through the steel face of the tower like a ghost. As the alleged plane makes contact with the tower, there is no bending, buckling, or breaking of the plane. No wings breaking or other parts of the plane breaking apart. This is impossible. It is cartoon physics. It melts into the side of the tower like a knife through butter. A passenger jet is a hollow aluminium and plastic tube which is highly vulnerable to impacts with flying birds. The “plane” we are told is Flight 175 is depicted as being simultaneously both half in the South Tower and still completely intact, if a pair of the plane’s fuselage hits the steel exterior of the South Tower, the fuselage should be breaking up. That would cause the wings to break off.

From the holes left in both towers after “impact”, we are supposed to believe that the wings sliced clean through the 14 inch steel beams but this is simply impossible. The fragile, mostly hollow aluminium wings would not slice through all the 14 inch steel box columns of the WTC Towers and leave a Wylie Coyote style hole. An airplane wing can be sliced in half by a wooden telephone pole.

Apart from one or two props placed there like a bit of tyre and a bit of engine parts that didn’t even match a Boing 767, and like the laughable bit of engine on the Pentagon lawn there were no real plane parts or debris to be seen and no black boxes were ever found at ground zero. In reality, if a plane had hit the tower it would have crushed up like a car hitting a wall and its wings would have broken off and the majority of the plane would have fallen to the street below. The street below would have been littered with plane debris and the charred remains of the passengers, yet it wasn’t because there was no plane.

NO OFFICIAL CRASH REPORTS: FAA Regulation 121 requires a comprehensive investigation of all crashes of scheduled commercial

flights, yet there are no official crash reports on the 4 incidents because there were no planes.

PLANES HAVE A CGI APPEARANCE: In all of the footage the alleged planes hitting the twin towers clearly do not look real. The planes have a computer generated appearance. In the different footage we see the planes changing shape and color, missing wings, are featureless and blurred. Pilot John Lear made the observation that the plane has no strobe lights. The plane also casts no shadow.

According to BTS statistics, both 11 and 77 officially never took-off on 9/11. The meticulous data kept on every airliner taking-off at every airport in the country also showed no elapsed run-way time, wheels-off time and taxi-out time, not to mention several other categories left blank on 9/11 concerning the two flights. Although flights 11 and 77 have the above data meticulously logged on 9/10, it was suspiciously absent on 9/11, even when every other plane that took off that day had been recorded and logged by the BTS. The flight that was labelled flight 11 by air traffic control was ten miles from Manhattan at 8.46 am. If flights AA 11 and AA 77 never existed, then there are only two planes, not four, to be accounted for. Investigators who have checked the tail numbers for the planes which departed as UA 93 and UA 175 on 9/11 (namely N591UA and N612UK respectively) believe that these planes are still in service. If so, and if AA 11 and AA 77 never existed, then the number of Boeing 757s and 767s destroyed on 9/11 was not four, as the US government maintains, but rather zero. Both UA 175, plane number N612UA and UA 93, plane number N591UA, were still registered and valid more than four years after (their) alleged destruction”.

AE: What is the current status of these conspiracy theories?

DOA: They have been making waves for quite some time, and recently they are gaining ground appreciably. But, it is also true that they have been ‘DEBUNKED’ by scientific studies and eyewitnesses.

EW: Why was the investigation left incomplete? What is stopping the Debunkers and the Conspiracy Theorists from coming together in a conference, analyzing the whole thing, coming to a consensus, and then informing the world what happened?

AE: That is the question.

DOA: Incidentally, there is an American non-profit organization called ‘**Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth**’ that promotes the controlled demolition conspiracy theory disputing accepted conclusions around the September 11 attacks, including the ‘9/11 Commission Report’. Founded in 2006, the group calls for “a truly independent investigation” into the September 11 attacks as they believe government agency investigations into the collapse of the World Trade Center have not addressed what it calls “massive evidence for explosive demolition”.

EW: What is the current strength of the group?

DOA: As of August 2018, the group has over 25000 members including over 3000 Architects and Engineers.

EW: What about professional bodies of Architects, Engineers, including Aero-Space engineers? Do they support these theories?

DOA: I think they have chosen not to debate proponents of these theories, Perhaps, they do not want to lend them credibility, or simply stay away from getting involved.

JW: Is that the reason we have been invited to this seminar?

SK: *After much thinking ... YES*

EW: So ... How do we reconcile and make sense out of it? ... I mean who from America could have benefitted from the whole thing?

JW: I think I have a theory that explains what might have happened. ... I hope I am wrong.

EW: And what’s that?

JW: First off, recall the Vietnam war and the assassination of John F. Kennedy who was planning to end that war ... and the conspiracy theory that John F. Kennedy was killed by the “owners of the major corporations who were benefiting from the war’s continuation”.

In the Vietnam War, the leaders of the White House claimed at the time that it was a necessary and crucial war. And when Kennedy took over the presidency and deviated from the general line of policy drawn up for the White House and wanted to stop this unjust war, this angered—big time—the owners of the major corporations who were benefiting from its continuation. And so Kennedy was killed, and al-Qaida wasn't present at that time, but rather, those corporations were the primary beneficiary from his killing. And the war continued after that for approximately one decade even though it was clear to one and all that it was an unjust and unnecessary war.

Over the years—rather decades—the Military Industrial Complex of the US of A .. comprising of these major— not to mention Powerful—corporations including defence contractors have been stage managing the US Foreign Policy in a way that ensures business as usual for the MIC.

SK: I completely agree. In fact someone commented during a conversation with me in response to a blog on Huffington Post, several years back:

“The Dept of Defense (DOD) is the “Republican Welfare System”—Defense contracts are bid on and let through a multitude of Contracting Offices—with very lucrative amounts being agreed to. Most of these businesses are Republican owned—General Dynamics, Boeing, Raytheon, Haliburton (remember that one?)—the list is massive. Billions of dollars every year for goods & services. Contracting out is a big Republican policy, of course, you have to have Wars for this to really be profitable”.

JW: Manufacturing arms, ammunitions, and weapons of mass destruction, and exporting these to nations in conflicts—sometimes to both parties —and thus keep increasing the conflicts, has been at the centre stage of American foreign policy. I am thinking, some—Perhaps a single digit number—members of the group .. these Major Corporations of the so called Military Industrial Complex .. With or without the knowledge/consent of the Supreme Boss, when they came to know of the Terrorists plans, decided to secretly join hands with them.

‘Two can dig better than one’ (Remember the dialogue from “The good, the bad, the Ugly”).

You've Just Finished your Free Sample

Enjoyed the preview?

Buy: <http://www.ebooks2go.com>